

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/716,716	11/19/2003	Subhash Harmalker	IR6029-01	6320		
7590 05/03/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Colgate-Palmolive Company			DELCOTTO,	DELCOTTO, GREGORY R		
Patent Departn	nent					
909 River Road	d	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
P.O. Box 1343			1751	1751		
Piscataway, NJ 08855-1343			DATE MAILED: 05/03/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		10/716,716		HARMALKER, SUE	3HASH			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Gregory R. D	el Cotto	1751				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHO THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely typly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, I ply within the statutory d will apply and will ex te, cause the applicati	however, may a reply be tim   minimum of thirty (30) days  pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to  on to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
9)[] T	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)∐ T	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·			` '			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(	•							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [	Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pa Other:		52)			

Art Unit: 1751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-14 are pending. Note that, the prior art rejections as set forth below are the same as the prior art rejections affirmed by the Board of Appeals in a decision rendered on 7/26/02.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 1751

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zocchi (US 5,681,801) in view of Baravetto et al (US 5,980,877) or Inman (US 5,948,739).

Zocchi teaches a combination cleansing, conditioning composition comprising a stable, clear, aqueous cleaning phase having stably dispersed and suspended therein particles bearing the conditioning agent, the aqueous cleaning phase comprising a high foaming anionic surfactant, an amphoteric surfactant in quantities of at least 0.2 weight

Art Unit: 1751

percent of the composition wherein the clarity of the aqueous phase is maintained, and an effective amount of a viscoelasticity enhancing system suspending material comprised of a xanthan gum having an initial transmittance in a 1 wt% distilled water solution of at least 85% as measured by a UV spectrophotometer at 600 nanometers. See Abstract. Examples of suitable anionic surfactants include alkyl sulfates, alkyl sulfonates, alkyl ether sulfates, etc., in which the alkyl chain contains from 8 to 20 carbon atoms. The anionic surfactants can be alkoxylated, preferably ethoxylated and are generally present in quantities of at least 2% by weight. See column 2, lines 14-20. Suitable amphoteric surfactants include cocoamido propyl or ethyl betaines. See column 2, lines 40-56. Additionally, a nonionic surfactant is optionally included in the composition in amounts from 0.75% to about 7% by weight. See column 3, lines 15-20.

The composition provides a unique method for delivering conditioning agents to the skin and hair. The particles suspended in the aqueous cleansing phase bear on and/or inside their surface conditioning agents such as emollients, anti-oxidants, vitamins, mineral oils, vegetable oils, and any other oil like material applied to the skin or hair for conditioning effect. Preferably, the particle size of the particulate suspended phase bearing the conditioning agent is from about 200 to about 2500 microns. See column 3, line 45 to column 4, line 15. The particle size of the xanthan gum material used in the compositions are such that 100% goes through 60 mesh (250 micron) screen. See column 4, lines 40-55. The xanthan gum should be present from about 0.3% to about 1% by weight of the composition. See column 5, lines 1-15.

Art Unit: 1751

However, Zocchi does not teach a personal cleansing composition containing hydroxypropyl guar gum nor specifically teach a personal aqueous cleansing/conditioning composition containing an anionic surfactant, an amphoteric surfactant, a suspending material mixture comprised of xanthan gum and guar gum and particles bearing a conditioning agent suspended in an aqueous phase in the specific proportions as recited by the instant claims.

Pyles teaches a conditioning shampoo containing an anionic surfactant, a long-chain fatty alcohol, and a cationic polyethyleneimine which has extended product stability, excellent cleansing and foaming properties, and provides excellent and improved overall conditioning to human hair, particularly superior wet and dry combing properties. See Abstract. Additionally, Pyles teaches that to provide exceptionally stable emulsification of water-insoluble conditioning agent and to aid in thickening and foam stability, suspending and thickening agents such as xanthan gum, guar gum, etc., can be used in the composition in an amount from 0.1% to 5% by weight of the composition. See column 6, lines 58-69.

Bolich, Jr. et al teach a vehicle system which provides a desirable rheology to products formulated therewith, enhanced dispersion of actives therein, and improved deposition of actives therefrom. The vehicle system comprises a primary thickening agent and a water-soluble surfactant dispersed in compatible solvent. These vehicle systems are useful in cosmetic compositions which are used to deliver an active component to the hair or skin. The vehicle systems are particularly useful in hair care compositions, especially rinse-off hair conditioning compositions, because they

Art Unit: 1751

effectively deliver the hair conditioning component to the hair without depositing a substantial amount of the vehicle material onto the hair. See Abstract. The vehicle systems will also comprise an additional thickening component, which comprises a water-soluble polymeric material. By "water-soluble polymer" is meant that the material will form substantially a clear solution in water at a 1% concentration at 25 degrees Celsius and the material will increase the viscosity of the water. Preferred as the optional additional thickener for the present vehicle systems are natural polysaccharide materials such as guar gum, locust bean gum and xanthan gum. The additional thickening component, if present in the cosmetic compositions, is included in am amount from about 0.3% to about 5.0% by weight. See column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 25.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use guar gum in place of a portion of xanthan gum as a thickening agent in the hair cleansing/conditioning composition taught by Zocchi having the same physical parameters as the xanthan gum taught by Zocchi with the expectation of success since Pyles or Bolich, Jr. et al teach the equivalence of xanthan gum to guar gum as thickening agents in similar hair care compositions. Note that, an express suggestion to substitute one equivalent component or process for another is not necessary to render such substitution obvious. In re Fout, 675 F.2d 297, 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982).

Also, it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose. In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069,

Art Unit: 1751

1072 (CCPA 1980). Note that, Applicant has demonstrated no criticality with respect to a mixture of xanthan gum and guar gum as the thickening agent.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to formulate a personal aqueous cleansing/conditioning composition containing an anionic surfactant, an amphoteric surfactant, a suspending material mixture comprised of xanthan gum and guar gum and particles bearing a conditioning agent suspended in an aqueous phase in the specific proportions as recited by the instant claims since the broad teachings of Zocchi in combination with Bolich, Jr. et al encompass a personal aqueous cleansing/conditioning composition containing an anionic surfactant, an amphoteric surfactant, a suspending material mixture comprised of xanthan gum and guar gum and particles bearing a conditioning agent suspended in an aqueous phase in the specific proportions as recited by the instant claims. Note that, disclosed examples and preferred embodiments to not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971); In re Fracalossi, 215 USPQ 569 (CCPA 1982). See MPEP 2123.

#### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Art Unit: 1751

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,681,801 in view of Pyles (US 5,576,279) or Bolich, Jr. et al (5,104,646). Claims 1-14 of US 5,681,801 recite a personal cleansing/conditioning composition encompassing all the material limitations of instant claims 1-14 except for the inclusion of guar gum.

Pyles or Bolich, Jr. et al teach similar personal cleaning compositions which show the equivalence of xanthan gum to guar gum as thickening agents.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use guar gum in place of a portion of xanthan gum as a thickening agent in the hair cleansing/conditioning composition claimed by Zocchi having the same physical parameters as the xanthan gum taught by Zocchi with the expectation of success since Pyles or Bolich, Jr. et al teach the equivalence of xanthan gum to guar gum as thickening agents in similar hair care compositions.

Note that, instant claims 1-14 of US 5,681,801 in view of Pyles or Bolich, Jr. et al encompass the material limitations of instant claims 1-14.

#### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Remaining references cited but not relied upon are considered to be cumulative to or less pertinent than those relied upon or discussed above.

Art Unit: 1751

Applicant is reminded that any evidence to be presented in accordance with 37 CFR 1.131 or 1.132 should be submitted before final rejection in order to be considered timely.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory R. Del Cotto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1312. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory R. Del Cotto Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

GRD April 28, 2004

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/716,716

Art Unit: 1751